

Spectral convergence bounds for classical and quantum Markov processes

Oleg Szehr, David Reeb, Michael M. Wolf
TU Muenchen

January 24, 2013

Introduction

Motivation

Definitions

Spectral bounds from a function space based approach

Bounding functions of an operator

Main result: spectrum and convergence

Conclusions and References

Classical and quantum Markov chains

Markov chain: Description of time-homogenous probabilistic evolution.

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} & \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} & \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} & \mathcal{X} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} & \mathcal{X} \\ \rho & \mapsto & \mathcal{T}(\rho) & \mapsto & \mathcal{T}^2(\rho) & \mapsto & \mathcal{T}^3(\rho) & \cdots & \mapsto & \mathcal{T}_\infty(\rho) \end{array}$$

\mathcal{X} : state space, ρ : state of system,
 \mathcal{T} : transition map, \mathcal{T}_∞ : asymptotic evolution

Classical and quantum Markov chains

Markov chain: Description of time-homogenous probabilistic evolution.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} & \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} & \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} & \mathcal{X} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} & \mathcal{X} \\ \rho & \mapsto & \mathcal{T}(\rho) & \mapsto & \mathcal{T}^2(\rho) & \mapsto & \mathcal{T}^3(\rho) & \cdots & \mapsto & \mathcal{T}_\infty(\rho) \end{array}$$

\mathcal{X} : state space, ρ : state of system,
 \mathcal{T} : transition map, \mathcal{T}_∞ : asymptotic evolution

Classical:

- ▶ $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^d$
- ▶ ρ : vector with non-negative components, sum to 1
- ▶ \mathcal{T} : stochastic matrix

Quantum:

- ▶ $\mathcal{X} = \{X \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d} | X = X^\dagger\}$
- ▶ ρ : positive semi-definite trace-one matrix
- ▶ \mathcal{T} : trace-preserving and completely positive map

Approaching Asymptotic behavior

In many cases one is interested, when asymptotic behavior sets in:

Classical:

- ▶ Algorithms close to correct?
- ▶ Shuffling random?

Quantum:

- ▶ Dissipative state preparation and computation
- ▶ Stability of fixed point of evolution
- ▶ Cut-off phenomena

Approaching Asymptotic behavior

In many cases one is interested, when asymptotic behavior sets in:

Classical:

- ▶ Algorithms close to correct?
- ▶ Shuffling random?

Quantum:

- ▶ Dissipative state preparation and computation
- ▶ Stability of fixed point of evolution
- ▶ Cut-off phenomena

In this talk we consider convergence properties of classical and quantum Markov chains.

How is the *spectrum* of \mathcal{T} related to $\|\mathcal{T}^n - \mathcal{T}_\infty^n\|$?

Approaching Asymptotic behavior

In many cases one is interested, when asymptotic behavior sets in:

Classical:

- ▶ Algorithms close to correct?
- ▶ Shuffling random?

Quantum:

- ▶ Dissipative state preparation and computation
- ▶ Stability of fixed point of evolution
- ▶ Cut-off phenomena

In this talk we consider convergence properties of classical and quantum Markov chains.

How is the *spectrum* of \mathcal{T} related to $\|\mathcal{T}^n - \mathcal{T}_\infty^n\|$?

Mathematical primer

Linear maps \mathcal{M} :

- ▶ $\sigma(\mathcal{M}) = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d\}$ spectrum of \mathcal{M} with spectral radius $\mu_{\mathcal{M}}$,
- ▶ $m_{\mathcal{M}}(z) = \prod_i (z - \lambda_i)^{k_i}$ minimal polynomial of \mathcal{M} : smallest degree non-zero poly. with $m_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M}) = 0$

Mathematical primer

Linear maps \mathcal{M} :

- ▶ $\sigma(\mathcal{M}) = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d\}$ spectrum of \mathcal{M} with spectral radius $\mu_{\mathcal{M}}$,
- ▶ $m_{\mathcal{M}}(z) = \prod_i (z - \lambda_i)^{k_i}$ minimal polynomial of \mathcal{M} : smallest degree non-zero poly. with $m_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M}) = 0$

Mathematical primer

Linear maps \mathcal{M} :

- ▶ $\sigma(\mathcal{M}) = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d\}$ spectrum of \mathcal{M} with spectral radius $\mu_{\mathcal{M}}$,
- ▶ $m_{\mathcal{M}}(z) = \prod_i (z - \lambda_i)^{k_i}$ minimal polynomial of \mathcal{M} : smallest degree non-zero poly. with $m_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M}) = 0$

Quantum/classical transition maps \mathcal{T} :

- ▶ Spectral radius $\mu = 1$

Mathematical primer

Linear maps \mathcal{M} :

- ▶ $\sigma(\mathcal{M}) = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d\}$ spectrum of \mathcal{M} with spectral radius $\mu_{\mathcal{M}}$,
- ▶ $m_{\mathcal{M}}(z) = \prod_i (z - \lambda_i)^{k_i}$ minimal polynomial of \mathcal{M} : smallest degree non-zero poly. with $m_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M}) = 0$

Quantum/classical transition maps \mathcal{T} :

- ▶ Spectral radius $\mu = 1$
- ▶ Define

$$\mathcal{T}_{\infty} := \sum_{|\lambda_i|=1} \lambda_i \mathcal{P}_i$$

via Jordan decomposition: $\mathcal{T} = \sum_i (\lambda_i \mathcal{P}_i + \mathcal{N}_i)$, \mathcal{P}_i spectral projector, \mathcal{N}_i nilpotent.

Mathematical primer

Linear maps \mathcal{M} :

- ▶ $\sigma(\mathcal{M}) = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d\}$ spectrum of \mathcal{M} with spectral radius $\mu_{\mathcal{M}}$,
- ▶ $m_{\mathcal{M}}(z) = \prod_i (z - \lambda_i)^{k_i}$ minimal polynomial of \mathcal{M} : smallest degree non-zero poly. with $m_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M}) = 0$

Quantum/classical transition maps \mathcal{T} :

- ▶ Spectral radius $\mu = 1$
- ▶ Define

$$\mathcal{T}_{\infty} := \sum_{|\lambda_i|=1} \lambda_i \mathcal{P}_i$$

via Jordan decomposition: $\mathcal{T} = \sum_i (\lambda_i \mathcal{P}_i + \mathcal{N}_i)$, \mathcal{P}_i spectral projector, \mathcal{N}_i nilpotent.

- ▶ $\mathcal{T}^n - \mathcal{T}_{\infty}^n = (\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_{\infty})^n$

Linear algebraic bounds

Use $\|\mathcal{T}^n - \mathcal{T}_\infty^n\| = \|(\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_\infty)^n\|$ and Jordan/ Schur decompositions of $\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_\infty$.

Linear algebraic bounds

Use $\|\mathcal{T}^n - \mathcal{T}_\infty^n\| = \|(\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_\infty)^n\|$ and Jordan/ Schur decompositions of $\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_\infty$.

Jordan:

Let $\mu = \mu_{\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_\infty}$ and d_μ largest Jordan block for μ . There are n -independent $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$C_1 \mu^{n-d_\mu+1} n^{d_\mu-1} \leq \|\mathcal{T}^n - \mathcal{T}_\infty^n\| \leq C_2 \mu^{n-d_\mu+1} n^{d_\mu-1},$$

Linear algebraic bounds

Use $\|\mathcal{T}^n - \mathcal{T}_\infty^n\| = \|(\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_\infty)^n\|$ and Jordan/ Schur decompositions of $\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_\infty$.

Jordan:

Let $\mu = \mu_{\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_\infty}$ and d_μ largest Jordan block for μ . There are n -independent $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$C_1 \mu^{n-d_\mu+1} n^{d_\mu-1} \leq \|\mathcal{T}^n - \mathcal{T}_\infty^n\| \leq C_2 \mu^{n-d_\mu+1} n^{d_\mu-1},$$

Schur: (for quantum channels)

$$\|\mathcal{T}^n - \mathcal{T}_\infty^n\|_\diamond \leq 2d^{3/2}(\mu + 2d^{1/2})^{d^2-1} n^{d^2-1} \mu^{n-d^2+1}.$$

Linear algebraic bounds

Use $\|\mathcal{T}^n - \mathcal{T}_\infty^n\| = \|(\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_\infty)^n\|$ and Jordan/ Schur decompositions of $\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_\infty$.

Jordan:

Let $\mu = \mu_{\mathcal{T}-\mathcal{T}_\infty}$ and d_μ largest Jordan block for μ . There are n -independent $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$C_1 \mu^{n-d_\mu+1} n^{d_\mu-1} \leq \|\mathcal{T}^n - \mathcal{T}_\infty^n\| \leq C_2 \mu^{n-d_\mu+1} n^{d_\mu-1},$$

Schur: (for quantum channels)

$$\|\mathcal{T}^n - \mathcal{T}_\infty^n\|_\diamond \leq 2d^{3/2}(\mu + 2d^{1/2})^{d^2-1} n^{d^2-1} \mu^{n-d^2+1}.$$

Both bounds are not satisfactory: Jordan only qualitative, Schur too bad.

Mathematical primer II

Certain spaces of analytic functions:

- ▶ $Hol(\mathbb{D})$: space of analytic functions on complex unit disc.
- ▶ $H^p \subset Hol(\mathbb{D})$ with $p > 0$: Hardy spaces

$$H^p = \{f \in Hol(\mathbb{D}) \mid \|f\|_{H^p}^p := \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\phi})|^p d\phi < \infty\}$$

- ▶ $W \subset Hol(\mathbb{D})$: Wiener algebra of absolutely convergent Taylor series

$$W = \{f = \sum_{k \geq 0} \hat{f}(k)z^k \mid \sum_{k \geq 0} |\hat{f}(k)| < \infty\}.$$

Power-bounded operators obey Wiener functional calculus

\mathcal{M} power-bounded iff $\|\mathcal{M}^n\| \leq C \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Examples:

- ▶ \mathcal{T} quantum channel: $\|\mathcal{T}^n\|_{\diamond} = 1$
- ▶ \mathcal{T} classical stochastic matrix: $\|\mathcal{T}^n\|_{1 \rightarrow 1} = 1$
- ▶ $\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_{\infty}$: $\|(\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_{\infty})^n\|_{\diamond} = \|\mathcal{T}^n - \mathcal{T}_{\infty}^n\|_{\diamond} \leq \|\mathcal{T}^n\|_{\diamond} + \|\mathcal{T}_{\infty}^n\|_{\diamond} = 2$

Power-bounded operators obey Wiener functional calculus

\mathcal{M} power-bounded iff $\|\mathcal{M}^n\| \leq C \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Examples:

- ▶ \mathcal{T} quantum channel: $\|\mathcal{T}^n\|_{\diamond} = 1$
- ▶ \mathcal{T} classical stochastic matrix: $\|\mathcal{T}^n\|_{1 \rightarrow 1} = 1$
- ▶ $\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_{\infty}$: $\|(\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_{\infty})^n\|_{\diamond} = \|\mathcal{T}^n - \mathcal{T}_{\infty}^n\|_{\diamond} \leq \|\mathcal{T}^n\|_{\diamond} + \|\mathcal{T}_{\infty}^n\|_{\diamond} = 2$

Suppose want to bound $\|f(\mathcal{M})\|$,

$$f \in W = \{f = \sum_{k \geq 0} \hat{f}(k) z^k \mid \sum_{k \geq 0} |\hat{f}(k)| < \infty\}:$$

Power-bounded operators obey Wiener functional calculus

\mathcal{M} power-bounded iff $\|\mathcal{M}^n\| \leq C \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Examples:

- ▶ \mathcal{T} quantum channel: $\|\mathcal{T}^n\|_{\diamond} = 1$
- ▶ \mathcal{T} classical stochastic matrix: $\|\mathcal{T}^n\|_{1 \rightarrow 1} = 1$
- ▶ $\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_{\infty}$: $\|(\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_{\infty})^n\|_{\diamond} = \|\mathcal{T}^n - \mathcal{T}_{\infty}^n\|_{\diamond} \leq \|\mathcal{T}^n\|_{\diamond} + \|\mathcal{T}_{\infty}^n\|_{\diamond} = 2$

Suppose want to bound $\|f(\mathcal{M})\|$,

$f \in W = \{f = \sum_{k \geq 0} \hat{f}(k)z^k \mid \sum_{k \geq 0} |\hat{f}(k)| < \infty\}$:

Observation I:

$$\|f(\mathcal{M})\| = \left\| \sum_{k \geq 0} \hat{f}(k) \mathcal{M}^k \right\| \leq \sum_{k \geq 0} |\hat{f}(k)| \|\mathcal{M}^k\| \leq C \sum_{k \geq 0} |\hat{f}(k)| = C \|f\|_W$$

Power-bounded operators obey Wiener functional calculus

\mathcal{M} power-bounded iff $\|\mathcal{M}^n\| \leq C \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Examples:

- ▶ \mathcal{T} quantum channel: $\|\mathcal{T}^n\|_{\diamond} = 1$
- ▶ \mathcal{T} classical stochastic matrix: $\|\mathcal{T}^n\|_{1 \rightarrow 1} = 1$
- ▶ $\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_{\infty}$: $\|(\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_{\infty})^n\|_{\diamond} = \|\mathcal{T}^n - \mathcal{T}_{\infty}^n\|_{\diamond} \leq \|\mathcal{T}^n\|_{\diamond} + \|\mathcal{T}_{\infty}^n\|_{\diamond} = 2$

Suppose want to bound $\|f(\mathcal{M})\|$,

$f \in W = \{f = \sum_{k \geq 0} \hat{f}(k)z^k \mid \sum_{k \geq 0} |\hat{f}(k)| < \infty\}$:

Observation I:

$$\|f(\mathcal{M})\| = \left\| \sum_{k \geq 0} \hat{f}(k) \mathcal{M}^k \right\| \leq \sum_{k \geq 0} |\hat{f}(k)| \|\mathcal{M}^k\| \leq C \sum_{k \geq 0} |\hat{f}(k)| = C \|f\|_W$$

Observation II:

$$\|f(\mathcal{M})\| = \|(f + m_{\mathcal{M}}g)(\mathcal{M})\| \leq C \|f + m_{\mathcal{M}}g\|_W \quad \forall g \in W$$

Bounding functions of operators

Thus, $\|f(\mathcal{M})\| \leq C \inf_{g \in W} \|f + m_{\mathcal{M}}g\|_W$

\Leftrightarrow *framework for spectral bounds* on norm of function of operator:

Bounding functions of operators

Thus, $\|f(\mathcal{M})\| \leq C \inf_{g \in W} \|f + m_{\mathcal{M}}g\|_W$

\Leftrightarrow *framework for spectral bounds* on norm of function of operator:

- ▶ Find “good” function space for given class of operators
- ▶ Use above to shift problem to function space
- ▶ Find bound in function space e.g choose “good” h with $\inf_{g \in S} \|f + m_{\mathcal{M}}g\|_S \leq \|h\|_S$

Bounding functions of operators

Thus, $\|f(\mathcal{M})\| \leq C \inf_{g \in W} \|f + m_{\mathcal{M}}g\|_W$

\Leftrightarrow *framework for spectral bounds* on norm of function of operator:

- ▶ Find “good” function space for given class of operators
- ▶ Use above to shift problem to function space
- ▶ Find bound in function space e.g choose “good” h with $\inf_{g \in S} \|f + m_{\mathcal{M}}g\|_S \leq \|h\|_S$

Examples:

- ▶ \mathcal{M} Hilbert space contraction, then $\|f(\mathcal{M})\| \leq \inf_{g \in H^\infty} \|f + m_{\mathcal{M}}g\|_{H^\infty} \quad \forall f \in H^\infty$
- ▶ \mathcal{T} quantum channel, then [Nik06] $\|\mathcal{T}^{-1}\|_\diamond \leq \sqrt{2ed}/(\prod_i |\lambda_i|)$
- ▶ \mathcal{T} quantum channel, then

$$\|\mathcal{T}^n - \mathcal{T}_\infty^n\|_\diamond = \|(\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_\infty)^n\|_\diamond \leq 2 \inf_{g \in W} \|z^n + g m_{(\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_\infty)}\|_W$$

Main result: Spectrum and convergence

Theorem (Szehr, Reeb, Wolf [SRW13])

Suppose $\|\mathcal{T}^n\| \leq C \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $m = m_{\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_\infty}$ be minimal polynomial and μ spectral radius of $\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_\infty$. Then, for $n > \frac{\mu}{1-\mu}$ we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}^n - \mathcal{T}_\infty^n\| \leq \mu^n R(\mu, m, n) \prod_{m/(z-\lambda_D)} \frac{1 - (1 + \frac{1}{n})\mu|\lambda_i|}{\mu - |\lambda_i| + \frac{\mu}{n}},$$

where $R(\mu, m, n) = \frac{4Ce^2\sqrt{|m|}(|m|+1)}{(1-(1+\frac{1}{n})\mu)^{3/2}}$.

Comparison to Schur and Jordan

To compare, note that

$$\frac{1 - (1 + \frac{1}{n})\mu|\lambda_i|}{\mu - |\lambda_i| + \frac{\mu}{n}} \leq \frac{n}{\mu}(1 - \mu^2).$$

i) Jordan:

- ▶ If $|\lambda_i| = \mu$ then catch factor $\frac{n}{\mu}$. Hence, Jordan bound is direct corollary.
- ▶ Advantage: Found quantitative bound since specified constants

Comparison to Schur and Jordan

To compare, note that

$$\frac{1 - (1 + \frac{1}{n})\mu|\lambda_i|}{\mu - |\lambda_i| + \frac{\mu}{n}} \leq \frac{n}{\mu}(1 - \mu^2).$$

i) Jordan:

- ▶ If $|\lambda_i| = \mu$ then catch factor $\frac{n}{\mu}$. Hence, Jordan bound is direct corollary.
- ▶ Advantage: Found quantitative bound since specified constants

ii) Schur:

- ▶ In case of worst spectrum find Schur bound as corollary.
- ▶ Advantage: *Exponential* improvement in dimension prefactor even for worst spectrum

Comparison to Schur and Jordan

To compare, note that

$$\frac{1 - (1 + \frac{1}{n})\mu|\lambda_i|}{\mu - |\lambda_i| + \frac{\mu}{n}} \leq \frac{n}{\mu}(1 - \mu^2).$$

i) Jordan:

- ▶ If $|\lambda_i| = \mu$ then catch factor $\frac{n}{\mu}$. Hence, Jordan bound is direct corollary.
- ▶ Advantage: Found quantitative bound since specified constants

ii) Schur:

- ▶ In case of worst spectrum find Schur bound as corollary.
- ▶ Advantage: *Exponential* improvement in dimension prefactor even for worst spectrum

Conclude: New bound outperforms Jordan and Schur

Some words about proof

Sufficient to bound $\inf_{g \in W} \|z^n + g m_{(\mathcal{T}-\mathcal{T}_\infty)}\|_W$.

1. Interpolation problem [Nik09]:

$$\inf_{g \in W} \|z^n + g m_{(\mathcal{T}-\mathcal{T}_\infty)}\|_W = \inf_{h \in W} \{\|h\|_W \mid h(\lambda_i) = \lambda_i^n\}$$

Some words about proof

Sufficient to bound $\inf_{g \in W} \|z^n + g m_{(\mathcal{T}-\mathcal{T}_\infty)}\|_W$.

1. Interpolation problem [Nik09]:

$$\inf_{g \in W} \|z^n + g m_{(\mathcal{T}-\mathcal{T}_\infty)}\|_W = \inf_{h \in W} \{\|h\|_W \mid h(\lambda_i) = \lambda_i^n\}$$

2. Choose good representative: $r \in (0, 1)$ and

$$h_r(z) = \sum_k \lambda_k^n \frac{\tilde{B}(rz)}{rz - r\lambda_k} (1 - r^2|\lambda_k|^2) \prod_{j \neq k} \frac{1 - r^2\bar{\lambda}_j\lambda_k}{r\lambda_k - r\lambda_j}$$

Some words about proof

Sufficient to bound $\inf_{g \in W} \|z^n + g m_{(\mathcal{T}-\mathcal{T}_\infty)}\|_W$.

1. Interpolation problem [Nik09]:

$$\inf_{g \in W} \|z^n + g m_{(\mathcal{T}-\mathcal{T}_\infty)}\|_W = \inf_{h \in W} \{ \|h\|_W \mid h(\lambda_i) = \lambda_i^n \}$$

2. Choose good representative: $r \in (0, 1)$ and

$$h_r(z) = \sum_k \lambda_k^n \frac{\tilde{B}(rz)}{rz - r\lambda_k} (1 - r^2 |\lambda_k|^2) \prod_{j \neq k} \frac{1 - r^2 \bar{\lambda}_j \lambda_k}{r\lambda_k - r\lambda_j}$$

3. Bound in terms of Hardy norm:

$$\|h_r\|_W \leq \sqrt{\sum_{k \geq 0} |\hat{h}(k)|^2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{1-r^2}} = \|h\|_{H^2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{1-r^2}} \leq \|h\|_{H^\infty} \sqrt{\frac{1}{1-r^2}}$$

Some words about proof

Sufficient to bound $\inf_{g \in W} \|z^n + g m_{(\mathcal{T}-\mathcal{T}_\infty)}\|_W$.

1. Interpolation problem [Nik09]:

$$\inf_{g \in W} \|z^n + g m_{(\mathcal{T}-\mathcal{T}_\infty)}\|_W = \inf_{h \in W} \{\|h\|_W \mid h(\lambda_i) = \lambda_i^n\}$$

2. Choose good representative: $r \in (0, 1)$ and

$$h_r(z) = \sum_k \lambda_k^n \frac{\tilde{B}(rz)}{rz - r\lambda_k} (1 - r^2|\lambda_k|^2) \prod_{j \neq k} \frac{1 - r^2\bar{\lambda}_j\lambda_k}{r\lambda_k - r\lambda_j}$$

3. Bound in terms of Hardy norm:

$$\|h_r\|_W \leq \sqrt{\sum_{k \geq 0} |\hat{h}(k)|^2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{1-r^2}} = \|h\|_{H^2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{1-r^2}} \leq \|h\|_{H^\infty} \sqrt{\frac{1}{1-r^2}}$$

4. Express h as contour integral and $s \in (\mu, 1)$

$$\|h\|_{H^\infty} \leq \frac{s^{n+1}}{2\pi(n+1)} \sup_{|z|=1} \int_\gamma \left| \frac{1}{\tilde{B}_r(\lambda)(z-r\lambda)} \right|' \|d\lambda\|$$

Some words about proof

Sufficient to bound $\inf_{g \in W} \|z^n + g m_{(\mathcal{T}-\mathcal{T}_\infty)}\|_W$.

1. Interpolation problem [Nik09]:

$$\inf_{g \in W} \|z^n + g m_{(\mathcal{T}-\mathcal{T}_\infty)}\|_W = \inf_{h \in W} \{ \|h\|_W \mid h(\lambda_i) = \lambda_i^n \}$$

2. Choose good representative: $r \in (0, 1)$ and

$$h_r(z) = \sum_k \lambda_k^n \frac{\tilde{B}(rz)}{rz - r\lambda_k} (1 - r^2 |\lambda_k|^2) \prod_{j \neq k} \frac{1 - r^2 \bar{\lambda}_j \lambda_k}{r\lambda_k - r\lambda_j}$$

3. Bound in terms of Hardy norm:

$$\|h_r\|_W \leq \sqrt{\sum_{k \geq 0} |\hat{h}(k)|^2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{1-r^2}} = \|h\|_{H^2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{1-r^2}} \leq \|h\|_{H^\infty} \sqrt{\frac{1}{1-r^2}}$$

4. Express h as contour integral and $s \in (\mu, 1)$

$$\|h\|_{H^\infty} \leq \frac{s^{n+1}}{2\pi(n+1)} \sup_{|z|=1} \int_\gamma \left| \frac{1}{\tilde{B}_r(\lambda)(z-r\lambda)} \right|' |d\lambda|$$

5. Use Spijker Inequality. Let $|\lambda| = (1 + 1/n)\mu$

$$\|\mathcal{T}^n - \mathcal{T}_\infty^n\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{1-r^2} \frac{\mu^{n+1} (|m|+1)e}{nr^{|m|}(1-r(1+1/n)\mu)}} \sup_\lambda \left| \prod_i \frac{1 - \bar{\lambda}_i r^2 \lambda}{\lambda - \lambda_i} \right|$$

Conclusions and References

Conclude:

- ▶ New framework for spectral bounds
 - ▶ New convergence estimate even for classical Markov chains
 - ▶ Outperform classical convergence estimates
-

Conclusions and References

Conclude:

- ▶ New framework for spectral bounds
 - ▶ New convergence estimate even for classical Markov chains
 - ▶ Outperform classical convergence estimates
-



N.K. Nikolski, *Condition numbers of large matrices and analytic capacities*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **17** (2006), 641–682.



_____, *Operators, functions and systems: An easy reading*, AMS: Mathematical Surveys and Monographs: 93, 2009.



O. Szehr, D. Reeb, and M. M. Wolf, *Spectral convergence bounds for classical and quantum Markov chains*, arXiv: 1301.4827v1 [quant-ph].